

Minutes

Meeting Name	DCP 179 Working Group
Meeting Number	23
Date	22 July 2014
Time	10:00
Location	Web-conference

Attendee	Company
Andy Pace [AP] (Chair)	ENWL
Anika Brandt [AB]	SSE Power Distribution
Bethany Hannah [BH]	Ofgem
Bernard Kellas [BK]	SSE Supply
Chris Ong [CO]	UKN
Daniel Hickman [DH]	Npower
Dave Wornell [DW]	WPD
Donald Preston [DP]	SSE Power Distribution
George Moran [GM]	British Gas
Julia Haughey [JH]	EDF Energy
John Lawton [JS]	ENWL
Karl Maryon [KM]	Haven Power
Kevin Spencer [KS]	Elxon
Maria Hesketh [MH]	Scottish Power
Pat Wormald [PW]	Northern PowerGrid
Simon Fox [SF]	Elxon
Tom Chevalier [TC]	Power Data Associates
Rosalind Timperley [RT] (Secretariat)	ElectraLink

1 ADMINISTRATION

- 1.1 Apologies were received Steven Bradford (Flow Energy), Wendy Mantle (SP Distribution) and Katheryn Evans (SP Distribution).
- 1.2 The Working Group agreed the minutes of the previous meeting without any amendments.
- 1.3 The Working Group reviewed the open actions. All actions, including those raised during the meeting, are provided as Appendix A.

2 COMPETITION LAW DO'S AND DON'TS

- 2.1 The Working Group agreed to the terms set out in the "Competition Law Dos and Don'ts" document.

3 REVIEW OF CONSULTATION TWO RESPONSES

- 3.1 The Working Group reviewed the responses to the second DCP 179 industry consultation. The responses received, along with the Working Group's comments are provided as Attachment 1.
- 3.2 Whilst reviewing the responses the following actions were agreed:

- Capture within the Change Report that the legal text includes a provision (paragraph 132b) that permits domestic customers to choose from measurement classes. This approach chosen by the group will enable domestic customers to opt in to Half Hourly Settlement, thus addressing concerns around privacy of data as it will be down to customer choice.

Action 23/01: ElectraLink

- Update the DCP 179 legal text to match the consultation two alternative proposal.

Action 23/02: ElectraLink

4 REVIEW OF DRAFT CHANGE REPORT

- 4.1 The Working Group reviewed the draft DCP 179 Change Report and agreed amendments to it. The latest version of the Change Report, with the proposed shown as redlined changes is provided as Attachment 2.
- 4.2 Whilst reviewing the Change Report the following actions were agreed:

- PW to add timeline section to the Change Report.

Action 23/03: ElectraLink

- DNOs to double check the number of Customers on LV Sub Generation NHH export tariff shown in section 11.12 of the Change Report.

Action 23/04: ElectraLink

5 WORK PLAN AND NEXT STEPS

5.1 The next steps for the Working Group were agreed as follows:

- ElectraLink to update legal text and circulate to working group for review by 22 July
- Working Group members to provide comments on the legal text by close of play on 23 July
- ElectraLink to forward the DCP 179 legal text to the DCUSA legal advisor on 24 July
- ElectraLink request approval to update the EDCM and ARP to implement DCP 179
- Once updated models are received, ElectraLink to forward them to DNOs for testing (a line should also be included within the change report specifying that the models have been tested by DNOs.)
- PW to update the DCP 179 Change Report and circulate to Working Group members by 22 July 2014
- Working Group members to review Change Report before the DCP 179 Working Group meeting on Friday 25 July 2014
- On 25 July 2014 Working Group members to sign off the Change Report

5.2 No other items of business were raised.

6 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

6.1 The next Working Group meeting is scheduled for 10am 25 July 2014 to discuss the outcomes of the Balancing and Settlement Code P300 meeting and sign off the Change Report.

7 ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment 1 – DCP 179 Consultation Responses Document with Working Group Comments
- Attachment 2 – DCP 179 draft Change Report v0.5

APPENDIX A – ACTIONS**OPEN ACTIONS**

No.	Action	On	Due
17/04	When the DCP 179 legal text is sent for legal review ask whether it is possible in Clause 131a to refer to future BSC Changes (as the measurement classes referred to in this clause are in the process of being added to the BSC)? Ongoing	ElectraLink	
21/01	Request approval for a DCP 179 updated EDCM Model and ARP On going	ElectraLink	Need to have finalised legal text first
21/09	Consider further the DCP 179 implementation date once the P300 consultation has closed. Ongoing	All	
21/10	Extend the sample for the impact assessment for existing WC metered customers on the LV HH metered tariff. 8/07/14 - AP to circulate spare capacity analysis already completed so that it can be referenced in the response to the consultation query or in the change report to justify the selection of customers. 22/07/14 – updated data requested from Gavin Barker. GM to look into his organisation's data (customers can be extracted into the template to show the impact). ACTION GM	DNOs	
23/01	Capture within the Change Report that the legal text includes a provision (paragraph 132b) that permits domestic customers to choose from measurement classes. This approach chosen by the group will enable domestic customers to opt in to Half Hourly		

	Settlement, thus addressing concerns around privacy of data as it will be down to customer choice.		
23/02	Update the DCP 179 legal text to match the consultation two alternative proposal		
23/03	Add timeline section to the Change Report	PW	
23/04	Check the number of Customers on LV Sub Generation NHH export tariff shown in section 11.12 of the Change Report	DNOs	

ACTIONS CLOSED AT THIS MEETING

No.	Action	On	Due
06/05	<p>Populate the CT data DCP 179 RFI template. For those data items that are still under review notes can be provided alongside the data.</p> <p>25/3/14 - DNOs to continue validating the data.</p> <p>6/5/14 – AP asked if anyone was still validating this information, and it will be included in the consultation</p> <p>Needs to be completed before the consultation is issued</p> <p>27/05/14 – DNOs to confirm data by 30 May 2014</p> <p>Closed</p>	DNO	4 Feb 14
20/01	<p>The Working Group agreed to include a plain English summary of the intent within the Change Report to add clarity.</p> <p>Closed</p>	ElectraLink	

20/02	<p>The Working Group recognises and agreed that new measurement classes will need to be introduced for the efficient implementation of this CP, as this will allow differentiation between the customer types. It was also agreed that this should be added into the Change Report to provide clarity around the issue.</p> <p>Closed</p>	ElectraLink	
20/03	<p>The Working Group agreed that there will need to be text added into the Change Report that discusses the cost reflectivity of tariffs. In particular, one Working Group member raised concern that there may some reduction in cost reflectivity for PC 5-8 customers whose price will be derived from NHH PC 3 and 4.</p> <p>Closed</p>	ElectraLink	
20/04	<p>Ensure that all amendments to the legal text that were agreed during the discussion of Question 3 of the consultation responses are implemented into the final version of the drafting before it's issued to the DCUSA legal advisor</p> <p>Closed</p>	ElectraLink	
20/06	<p>With regards to the ENWL response to consultation question three, check internally about the point made with regards to Schedule 16, paragraph 140c.</p> <p>Closed</p>	DW	
20/07	<p>With regards to ENWL's consultation response stating for Schedule 16 Para 146 table:</p> <p><i>"Please confirm whether or not the "LV Sub Generation NHH" will also be renamed to include Aggregated HH within the title. If so the change also needs to be reflected in the IDNO tariff tables. "</i></p> <p>The Working Group agreed to get the number of customers of this type, and explain within the CR that it was not significant enough to change.</p> <p>Closed</p>	All	
20/09	<p>In the Change Report pull out the NPV and Ofgem objectives of the Smart Metering</p>	All/	

	programme. Closed	ElectraLink	
21/02	In the Change Report capture that the Working Group recognises that the current process for updating suppliers on capacity changes may not still be fit for purpose given that this change would increase the numbers. The Working Group acknowledges this is a potential issue and has been raised within the DCMF MIG. The conclusion of the MIG was that this was an MRA issue and should be progressed via that route. Closed	ElectraLink	
21/03	Approach to deeming capacity values to be determined for inclusion in the Change Report. Closed	All	
21/04	Highlight in the Change Report that as a transitional arrangement to assist during the implementation of this CP, the Working Group recommends that DNOs issue a list of MPANs with CT metering installed to all Suppliers. Closed	ElectraLink	
21/05	Complete the Working Group response to British Gas' response to consultation questions 8, 10 and 12 Closed	AP	
21/06	Feedback to the group on Ofgem's views with regards to the proposal to introduce a measurement class H. 8/7/14 - Ofgem response: At the last meeting I took an action to provide further information on Ofgem's decision on data arrangements for microbusinesses. The decision is still being made but I have been advised by a colleague that the purpose of the proposal is to bring the arrangements for 'smart type' and 'advanced' meters in line with those for 'smart' meters already set out by DECC in the following	Ofgem/GM	

	<p>decision.</p> <p>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/43046/7225-gov-resp-sm-data-access-privacy.pdf</p> <p>Therefore, it appears there may be potential data access issues for non-domestic HH CT metered customers, regardless of Ofgem's final decision. However, it should be remembered that microbusinesses are PC 1-4 and DCP179/P300/P272 do not propose to mandate HH settlement for customers in these classes.</p> <p>The Working Group noted that the problem is that microbusiness are not going to be profile classes 1 to 4 if they move the HH metered. When P272 happens this will potentially become an issue. Supplier licence conditions around this point to be circulated for review by the Working Group</p> <p>22/07/14 - Conclusion was that measurement class H is not needed within P300 but this needs to be discussed within the P300 Working Group. There will be no additional measurement class added to P300 unless it is decided it is needed under DCP 179 as P300 is a facilitating CP for DCP 179.</p> <p>Closed</p>		
21/08	<p>Drawn out in the Change Report that Ofgem rejected P280 because there was no methodology that it could be measured against. DCP 179 would bring in this methodology.</p> <p>Closed</p>	ElectraLink	
22/01	<p>Update the layout of the DCP 179 Change Report</p> <p>Closed</p>	ElectraLink	